

# Space Elevator Power System Analysis and Optimization

Ben Shelef, the Spaceward Foundation

## Abstract

*This paper lays out the basic constraints for a Space Elevator power system, performs parameter optimization, and compares the results with real-life technology parameters. The paper also considers the special case of solar climbers that have the additional constraint of a once-per-day launch rate.*

## 1 Motivation

Being a transportation system, a primary goal in designing a Space Elevator system is maximizing payload throughput. Typical design parameters that can be varied to achieve this maximum are: Payload fraction (If we allocate more mass to the power system, a climber can move faster, but can carry less payload), Frequency of launch and number of simultaneous climbers on the ribbon, etc.

Since the Space Elevator is linearly scalable, we normalize the calculations by the maximum mass that is allowed to hang from the bottom of the tether ( $m_{\max}$ ). Thus a “20-ton” Elevator is one that can support a single 20 ton climber at ground level, including all safety margins. (In turn,  $m_{\max}$  is a fraction of the total tether mass  $m_T$ , with the tether mass fraction TMR defined as  $m_{\max}/m_T$ )

So for example, for a 20 ton elevator, we might choose to use 15 ton climbers ( $0.75 M_{\max}$ ), and the tether might weigh (depending on the nanotubes) anywhere between 200 and 400  $m_{\max}$ . We define a “Standard throughput unit” (STU) as being able to launch one  $m_{\max}$  per year. By default, throughput will refer to the amount of payload carried.

To simplify matters, we divide the mass of the climber into payload and power system, assuming the “dead structure” is light in comparison to either of them. (The power system mass includes all components that scale with the power level, such as PV panels, motors, power electronics and radiators.

## 2 Ascent power profile

The power required to move a mass at a certain velocity is a function of the effective gravity at the altitude that the climber is at:  $P = m \cdot g_{\text{eff}} \cdot v$ , where  $g_{\text{eff}}(r) = g(r_e/r)^2 - \omega_e^2 r$  ( $r_e=6400$  km is the radius of the earth, and  $\omega_e = 7.3E-5$  rad/sec, the angular velocity of the Earth and  $g$  is the surface gravity).

For  $r < 2r_e$ , we can approximate this very well as simply  $g_{\text{eff}}(r) = g(r_e/r)^2$ , which means that for a specific power system, the climber’s velocity will increase according to a square law  $v(r) = P/(m \cdot g) \cdot (r/r_e)^2$  as it moves out, until it reaches some maximum terminal velocity  $v_T$  determined by the tether handling system. From that point onwards, the climber moves at  $v_T$ , and the power system is under-utilized.

Defining power density  $\rho_P$  as power system mass divided by the power it can deliver, and power system mass fraction  $\beta$  as the mass of the power system divided by the mass of the climber, the total available power is  $P = m \cdot \beta \cdot \rho_P$ , the initial velocity is  $v_e = \beta \cdot \rho_P / g$ , and so the velocity is  $v(r) = \min[V_T, v_e \cdot (r/r_e)^2]$ . The terminal velocity point  $r_T$  is located where the climber reaches  $v_T$ , which happens at  $v_T = v_e \cdot (r_T/r_e)^2$ , or at  $r_T = r_e(v_T/v_e)^{0.5}$ . The amount of payload carried by the climber is of course  $(1-\beta)m$ .

The formula for the time it takes a climber that is following a constant power velocity profile to cover the distance between  $r_e$  and  $r$  (for  $r < r_T$ ) is:

$$t = \int_{x=r_e}^{x=r} dx / v(x) = \int_{x=r_e}^{x=r} v_e^{-1} (x/r_e)^{-2} dx = (r_e/r)(r-r_e)/v_e = t_0/Q$$

Where  $t_0=(r-r_e)/v_e$  is the time it would have taken the climber to cover the distance if it were moving at a constant velocity, and  $Q=r/r_e$  is the radius ratio.

The distance traveled by a constant power velocity climber (relative to  $r_e$ ) is:  $d/r_e = (r-r_e)/r_e = t/(r_e/v_e-t)$ .

### 3 Handoff

The spacing between climbers can be characterized by a handoff fraction  $k_H$ , such that a new climber is launched when the old climber reaches the altitude where  $g_{\text{eff}}/g = k_H$ . The handoff altitude  $r_H = r_e/k_H^{0.5}$  is the location where this happens, and the time since launch that this happens at is defined as  $t_H$

To keep the total tether load from exceeding the equivalent of one  $m_{\text{max}}$  climber at ground level, The mass of each climber can only be  $m = (1 - k_H)m_{\text{max}}$ , so that the geometrical series  $(1 - k_H) + (1 - k_H)k_H + (1 - k_H)k_H^2 \dots = 1$ . (This is slightly conservative, since the spacing between the climbers does not remain constant, and there are only a finite number of climbers).

If the initial parameters are such that  $r_T > r_H$ , (or  $(v_T/v_e)^{0.5} > k_H^{-0.5}$ ) then the climber will follow a constant-power velocity profile all the way out to the handoff point. We call this a “power limited” profile. Otherwise, the climber will “max-out” on the way to the handoff point, and the profile is called “speed limited”. There is also the hypothetical possibility the  $r_T < r_e$ , which means that the climber power system starts out under-utilized, even at ground level. This is clearly a non-optimal case.

### 4 Throughput

The payload per climber is therefore  $m_p = (1 - \beta)(1 - k_H)$  and the mass throughput of the system is  $\text{PMT} = (1 - \beta)(1 - k_H)/t_H$ . The more frequently we launch climbers, the smaller each one can be, but the larger the throughput. This trend continues to the limiting case of a continuous (variable speed) belt of cargo, though we see no practical way of implementing this case. Similarly, the faster we move, the more climbers we can launch, but the larger power systems leave less room for payload.

The parameters dictated by technology are the power density  $\rho_p$  and the terminal velocity  $v_T$ . The variables we can tune are the handoff constant  $k_H$ , the power system mass fraction  $\beta$ , and the handoff time  $t_H$ . The variables represent only 2 degrees of freedom, since clearly once we set  $\beta$  and  $k_H$ ,  $t_H$  is already determined.

Under power limited scenarios, while  $v_T$  can still be tuned, it does not affect the throughput, since it only alters the behavior of the climber beyond the handoff point.

### 5 Optimization

To find the maximum throughput:

The relative payload is  $m_{\text{payload}} = (1 - k_H)(1 - \beta)$   
 And the throughput is  $\text{PMT} = (1 - k_H)(1 - \beta)/t_H$

We can now link  $\beta$  and  $k_H$  through  $t_H$

#### Speed-limited:

Initial velocity:  $v_e = \beta \cdot \rho_p / g$   
 Terminal point:  $r_T = r_e(v_T/v_e)^{0.5} = r_e \cdot Q_T$   
 Terminal altitude:  $a_T = r_T - r_e$   
 Time to terminal point:  $t_T = (r_e/r_T)(r_T - r_e)/v_e = (r_e/v_e)(Q_T - 1)/Q_T$   
 Handoff point:  $r_H = r_e/k_H^{0.5}$   
 Handoff altitude:  $a_H = r_H - r_e$   
 Time to handoff point:  $t_H = t_T + (r_H - r_T)/v_T = (r_e/v_e)(Q_T - 1)/Q_T + (r_e k_H^{-0.5} - r_e Q_T)/v_T = (r_e/v_T) \cdot [Q_T(Q_T - 1) - Q_T + k_H^{-0.5}] = (r_e/v_T)[Q_T(Q_T - 2) + k_H^{-0.5}]$   
 Extracting  $k_H$ :  $k_H = [(t_H v_T / r_e) - Q_T(Q_T - 2)]^{-2}$

#### Power-limited:

Initial velocity:  $v_e = \beta \cdot \rho_p / g$   
 Handoff point:  $r_H = r_e(v_H/v_e)^{0.5} = r_e \cdot Q_H = r_e \cdot (k_H)^{-0.5}$   
 Handoff altitude:  $a_H = r_H - r_e$   
 Velocity at handoff point:  $v_H = v_e Q_H^2$   
 Time to handoff point:  $t_H = (r_e/r_H)(r_H - r_e)/v_e = (r_e/v_e)(Q_H - 1)/Q_H = (r_e/v_H)Q_H(Q_H - 1)$   
 Extracting  $\beta$ :  $\beta = g \cdot v_e / \rho_p = (g/\rho_p) \cdot (r_e/t_H) \cdot (Q_H - 1)/Q_H$   
 Defining:  $c_H = (g/\rho_p) \cdot (r_e/t_H)$   
 Solving for  $k_H$ :  $k_H = Q_H^{-2} = [c_H / (c_H - \beta)]^{-2}$

It is possible to express  $dP/d\beta$  in closed form, but the resulting expression is only solvable numerically. For the same effort, it is more interesting to directly numerically optimize  $\text{PMT}(\beta)$ .

## 6 Results

The worksheet is implemented in MS Excel, and works with the built-in numerical solver to yield optimal values for PMT in respect to  $\beta$ . Below is one instance of the optimization, for  $\rho_P = 1500$  and  $v_T = 80$ . The source worksheet is available online.

Earth radius	$r_e$	m	6.4E6	6.4E6	6.4E6	6.4E6	6.4E6	
Earth rotation	$\omega_e$	rad/sec	7.3E-5	7.3E-5	7.3E-5	7.3E-5	7.3E-5	
Earth gravity	g	m/sec2	9.8E0	9.8E0	9.8E0	9.8E0	9.8E0	
power density	$\rho_P$	Watt/kg	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	
terminal velocity	$v_T$	m/s	80	80	80	80	80	
delta- $\beta$			0.02	-0.04	-0.02	0	0.02	0.04
power mass ratio	$\beta$		0.199	0.219	0.239	0.259	0.279	
handoff time	$t_H$	sec	86400	86400	86400	86400	86400	
Initial Velocity	$v_e$	m/s	30.5	33.6	36.6	39.7	42.7	

6.4E6	$r_e$
7.3E-5	$\omega_e$
9.8E0	g
1500	$\rho_P$
80	$v_T$
0.239	$\beta$
86400	$t_H$
36.6	$v_e$

[1]

[5]

sqrt( $v_T/v_e$ )	$Q_T$		1.6	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4
terminal point	$r_T$	m	1.0E7	9.9E6	9.5E6	9.1E6	8.8E6
terminal altitude	$a_T$	km	3966	3482	3060	2688	2356
terminal time	$t_T$	sec	8.0E4	6.7E4	5.7E4	4.8E4	4.0E4
		hr	22.3	18.7	15.7	13.3	11.2

1.5	Q
9.5E6	$r_T$
3060	$a_T$
5.7E4	$t_T$
15.7	

[7]

handoff constant	$k_H$		0.348	0.314	0.292	0.276	0.264
handoff point	$r_H$	m	1.1E7	1.1E7	1.2E7	1.2E7	1.2E7
handoff altitude	$a_H$	km	4454	5018	5449	5783	6045
handoff time (chk)	$t_H$	sec	86400	86400	86400	86400	86400
		hr	24	24	24	24	24
$m_{payload}$	$m_p$	$m_{max}$	0.52	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.53
Throughput	PMT	STU	191	195	197	196	194

0.292	$k_H$
1.2E7	$r_H$
5449	$a_H$
86400	$t_H$
24	
0.539	$m_p$
197	PMT

[4]

[6]

[3]

[2]

Ignore columns with red indicators

			0	0	0	0	0
	$C_H$		0.484	0.484	0.484	0.484	0.484
	$Q_H$		1.700	1.828	1.978	2.154	2.364
handoff constant	$k_H$		0.346	0.299	0.256	0.216	0.179
handoff point	$r_H$	m	1.1E7	1.2E7	1.3E7	1.4E7	1.5E7
handoff altitude	$a_H$	km	4478	5300	6256	7383	8729
handoff time (chk)	$t_H$	sec	86400	86400	86400	86400	86400
		hr	24	24	24	24	24
$m_{payload}$	$m_p$	$m_{max}$	0.524	0.547	0.566	0.581	0.592
Throughput	PMT	STU	191	200	207	212	216

0	
0.484	
1.978	
0.256	$k_H$
1.3E7	$r_H$
6256	$a_H$
86400	$t_H$
24	
0.566	$m_p$
207	PMT

[4]

[6]

[3]

[2]

Throughput	PMT	STU	191	195	197	196	194
------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

197	PMT
-----	-----

[2]

### Instructions:

Enter values in the 3 parameter cells marked:

Experiment with the value in the  $\beta$  cell:

Control the 5 test case columns using delta- $\beta$ :

The Red/Green indicators show which scenario (power or speed limited) is applicable.

(Red-Red means that a different condition (such as  $v_e > v_T$ ) is violated)

When ready, hit alt-T,V (tools-->solver) and optimize PMT with respect to  $\beta$ .

The parameter space is 3-dimensional, and we are interested in multiple resultant quantities. The approach taken for aggregating the data is to hold  $t_H$  constant and plot one table per observed quantity, then experiment with other values of  $t_H$ .

This process requires a considerable amount of manual work, but gives the experimenter a good insight into the behavior of the system.

Below are the results for daily-cycle operations ( $t_H=86400$ ). Power limited scenarios are shaded. Our focus is on the payload throughput (PMT).  $m_P$  and  $k_H$  are shown for “situational awareness”.

[1] $\beta$	500	700	1000	1500	2500	3500	[2] PMT	500	700	1000	1500	2500	3500
30	0.374						30	101					
40	0.420						40	105					
60	0.450	0.381	0.295	0.220	0.150		60	105	135	162	186	209	
80	0.450	0.415	0.321	0.239	0.165		80	105	137	168	197	224	
100	0.450	0.426	0.340	0.253	0.174	0.136	100	105	137	172	203	233	248
120	0.450	0.426	0.355	0.263	0.180	0.141	120	105	137	173	208	240	256

[3] $m_P$	500	700	1000	1500	2500	3500	[4] $k_H$	500	700	1000	1500	2500	3500
30	0.278						30	0.557					
40	0.287						40	0.506					
60	0.288	0.369	0.443	0.509	0.571		60	0.477	0.403	0.372	0.347	0.328	
80	0.288	0.374	0.461	0.539	0.612		80	0.477	0.360	0.322	0.292	0.267	
100	0.288	0.375	0.470	0.557	0.639	0.679	100	0.477	0.347	0.288	0.255	0.227	0.214
120	0.288	0.375	0.475	0.569	0.657	0.700	120	0.477	0.347	0.264	0.229	0.199	0.185

The first observation is that once the system becomes power-limited,  $v_T$  (as expected) no longer influences the result. The second observation is that even before the system becomes power-limited, the performance only advances slowly. If we stay in the “reasonable”  $v_T$  range of 60-120 m/s, the throughput values are mostly a function of the power density.

As estimated before (using the  $r_H=2r_e$  point), the weaker power systems run with  $m_P \approx 0.25$ , but we find out that the stronger ones reach much higher, into  $m_P \approx 0.6$ . Since even with  $m_P = 0.6$  we only have  $PMT = 219$ , there’s motivation to examine what can be gained by increasing the launch rates.

Looking at bi-daily operations ( $t_H=43200$ ) and remembering that for the same PMT  $m_P$  will be half its previous value, we get:

[1] $\beta$	500	700	1000	1500	2500	3500	[2] PMT	500	700	1000	1500	2500	3500
30	0.440						30	114					
40	0.477	0.400					40	115	151				
60	0.477	0.466					60	115	155				
80	0.477	0.466	0.420				80	115	155	209			
100	0.477	0.466	0.450	0.342	0.235	0.183	100	115	155	210	275	338	369
120	0.477	0.466	0.450	0.363	0.251	0.196	120	115	155	210	281	352	387

The shaded region is larger since the smaller climbers need to get out of the way faster and so carry larger power systems, thus maxing out sooner. For this reason, while the higher performing systems gain up to 50% in throughput, the lower performing systems gain only about 10%. This is to be expected, since there’s little point expediting the launch rate if the system is not capable of getting the climbers far enough out of the way within half a day.

## 7 Conclusions

We can draw the following table, to be used as a rough guide for the throughput available from a power system: (Throughput again is in units of  $m_{max}/yr$ , or STU)

$\rho_P$ :	Watt/kg	500	700	1000	1500	2500	3500
<b>Daily</b>	STU	100	135	170	200	230	250
<b>Bi-Daily</b>	STU	115	155	210	275	340	370

If we assume a power system that is at least partially solar based, day-cycle operations are a solid assumptions, yielding throughputs in the range of 100 – 250 STU for power systems with a power density of 0.5 – 3.5 Watt/kg. These numbers can then be plugged into the Space Elevator Feasibility Condition and compared with acceptable characteristic time constant.

In summary, the universe has once again conspired to make a terrestrial Space Elevator feasible, but just barely so.

## 8 References

- [1] Artsutanov, Y., "Into the Cosmos by Electric Rocket", *Komsomolskaya Pravda*, 31 July 1960. (The contents are described in English by Lvov in *Science*, **158**, 946-947, 1967.)
- [2] Artsutanov, Y., "Into the Cosmos without Rockets", *Znanije-Sila* **7**, 25, 1969.
- [3] Pearson, J., "The Orbital Tower: A Spacecraft Launcher Using the Earth's Rotational Energy", *Acta Astronautica* **2**, 785-799, 1975.
- [4] Edwards, B. C., and Westling, E. A., "The Space Elevator: A Revolutionary Earth-to-Space Transportation System", ISBN 0972604502, published by the authors, January 2003
- [5] B. Shelef, "Space Elevator Feasibility Condition", *climb vol 1*, 2011
- [6] B. Shelef, "Space Elevator Calculation Scrapbook", *The Spaceward Foundation*, 2008